1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS				
3	EL PASO DIVISION				
4					
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA No. EP-20-CR-389-DCG				
6	v. El Paso, Texas				
7	v. El laso, lexas				
8	PATRICK WOOD CRUSIUS July 7, 2023				
9					
10					
11					
12	SENTENCING				
13	VOLUME 3 OF 3				
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID C. GUADERRAMA				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
16	ONTIED DISTRICT GODGE				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	Proceedings reported by court reporter. Transcript				
25	produced via computer-aided transcription.				

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :					
2	For	+ h o	Correspont	Ian Martinez Hanna		
3	ror	CITE	Government	Gregory E. McDonald Patricia J. Acosta		
4 5			Assistant United States Attorneys 700 East San Antonio, Suite 200 El Paso, Texas 79901			
6				and		
7				Michael S. Warbel U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division		
8				950 Constitution Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20530		
9				nasningeen, b.e. isoset		
10	For	For the	Defendant	Joe A. Spencer, Jr. Attorney at Law		
11			1009 Montana Avenue El Paso, Texas 79902			
12			and			
13			Rebecca L. Hudsmith			
14 15			Office of the Federal Public Defender for Western and Middle Districts of Louisiana 102 Versailles Boulevard, Suite 816			
16			Lafayette, Louisiana 70501			
17				and		
18				Felix Valenzuela Valenzuela Law Firm 701 Magoffin Avenue		
19			El Paso, Texas 79926			
20				and		
21				Mark Stevens Attorney at Law		
22				310 S. St. Mary's Street, #1920 San Antonio, Texas 78205		
23			buil filterito, Texas 70205			
24						
25						

```
(Certified Court Interpreters Esperanza Gallegos and
 1
 2
     Barbara Espinosa present to interpret for audience members.)
              THE COURT: Mr. Velez, if you would call the case,
 3
 4
     please.
 5
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Cause Number EP-20-CR-389, the
     United States versus Patrick Wood Crusius.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: For continuation of the sentencing
 8
     proceeding, I would ask for announcements, please.
 9
              MR. HANNA: Good morning, Your Honor. Ian Hanna, Greg
10
     McDonald, Mike Warbel, Patricia Acosta for the United States,
11
     ready.
12
              THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.
13
              MR. SPENCER: Good morning, Your Honor. Rebecca
14
     Hudsmith, Mark Stevens, Felix Valenzuela, and Joe Spencer on
15
     behalf of Patrick Crusius, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Good morning to you as well, sir.
17
              What is that?
18
              LAW CLERK: It's a phone.
19
              THE COURT: A phone? Shut that off.
20
              PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor. Jessica
21
     Avila with United States Probation Office.
22
              THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Avila.
23
              All right. So just to recap, from Wednesday the 5th,
24
     we had all agreed that the total offense level for those counts
25
     receiving a score would be 43. The defendant's Criminal
```

```
10:00 1
          History Category was I. So the guidelines for Counts 1 through
      2
          23 are life per count. The guidelines for Counts 24 through 46
          are the mandatory minimum ten years consecutive to any other
      3
          sentence that might be imposed. The guideline for Counts 47
      4
      5
          through 68 are life per count. And the guidelines for Counts
          69 through 90 are mandatory minimum ten years consecutive to
      6
      7
          any other sentences. All counts will be followed by a term of
     8
          supervised release of no less than two, no more than five
     9
          years. The defendant is ineligible for probation. Subject to
          a fine on each count of no less than $50,000, no more than
    10
    11
          $250,000. Restitution remains pending and is set for a hearing
    12
          on September 25 at 9:00 a.m. Special assessment of $100 on
    13
          each count for a total of $9,000.
    14
                   All right. I do note that the parties have entered
    15
          into an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, which this court, at the
    16
          time of taking of the plea of guilty, accepted and now is bound
    17
          by the terms of that plea agreement.
    18
                   Mr. Hanna, do you concur in all that?
    19
                   MR. HANNA: We do, Your Honor.
    20
                   THE COURT: Mr. Spencer, do you?
    21
                   MR. SPENCER: Yes -- yes, Your Honor, I do concur.
    22
                   THE COURT: Mr. Spencer, at the disclosure of the
    23
          presentence report, I did invite your client, Mr. Crusius, to
    24
          make a statement as required by law -- as requiring me to ask
    25
          by law. Your client elected not to make a statement, so I
```

10:02 1 | would hear your allocution at this time.

MR. SPENCER: May it please the Court. On August 3 of 2019, Patrick Crusius greatly harmed people who did not deserve to be harmed. We offer our sincere condolences and prayers to all of those who suffered greatly from this unimaginable tragedy. We hope that this phase of the federal case can bring some small amount of healing and resolution to the people most grievously harmed by Patrick Crusius' actions on August 3 of 2019.

Patrick takes responsibility for his actions and for the harm he caused. He pled guilty. And he agreed to the imposition of 90 consecutive life sentences. Today's federal sentencing ensures that Patrick will leave prison in a coffin. The only question will be whether it's on God's time or man's time.

As the Assistant United States Attorney for the Western District of Texas noted in the plea agreement — noted in the plea hearing, Patrick Crusius' actions on August 3, 2019, was shaped by severe mental illness. This is, in part, why the Department of Justice with the direct approval of the United States Attorney General, Merrick Garland, decided not to seek the death penalty. Because of this decision, Patrick pled guilty to all of the counts in the federal indictment and will spend the rest of his life in prison without any chance of ever possibly being released. We hope this avoids traumatizing the

10:04 1 victims' community any further with protracted legal 2 proceedings in federal court.

We know the community has questions about Patrick.

Why would he come to our community? Was it because of the color of our skin? Will he try to hurt me? We feel we have a responsibility to address these questions for the most — for those that were most grievously harmed and for everyone else in our community to try to make sense of the senselessness.

Answering questions about our client and his actions, it's not easy for several reasons. First, as defense lawyers, it is our ethical duty mandated by the American Bar Association guidelines, the rules of professional responsibility, and the oath the lawyers took to defend our client to the best of our ability. In sharing some details at this time about his actions and what compelled him to take those actions goes against the principles that we have sworn to follow. More importantly, we are aware that by sharing this information, we need to be careful to make the distinction between explaining Patrick's severe mental illness and not justify his actions.

In no way do we agree with or support what he did on August 3 of 2019. We share the information that we are able to share at this time with the hope that we may help our community with the healing. The role Patrick's severe mental illness played in his crimes was addressed in detail by the presentence report completed by United States Probation Officer Avila.

10:05 1

2.2.

And I have to commend -- I know His Honor gave her kudos very early on for the presentence report that was 128 pages long and 728 paragraphs long. But she had to decipher millions of documents to get the information, and she did an outstanding job. And, in detail, it does explain much of Patrick's mental health condition.

Yet, we understand that it's important to reiterate certain details in an attempt to address the victims' community's concern. I know so many questions remain about why Patrick did this and how he could do this. When a human being causes this amount of harm and destruction, we all ask how and why someone could do something like this, something so senseless. We so desperately want to make sense of what we cannot comprehend.

I do not presume that what I share about Patrick's severe mental illness and his actions will bring any degree of comfort. It may bring more questions. And it may be painful to hear.

We are grateful for the opportunity to share with everyone today what we have learned about Patrick and what contributed to his actions. And we appreciate your willingness to hear from us.

Mental illness does not, of course, mean someone is always going to hurt themselves or others. We all encounter, know, or love people with mental illness and the effects of

10:07 1

mental illness are diverse. And they vary greatly in their severity. But severe mental illness can be debilitating, especially if you are not on medication. It can help someone from understanding reality and can cause him or her to take actions that show no appreciation for reality.

As Your Honor may recall from the plea hearing in February, Patrick was evaluated by several mental health experts. In fact, he has been seen by eight different mental health professionals in the last four years. The experts diagnosed him with a serious mental illness called schizoaffective disorder.

You may have questions about this illness, what it is, what it has to do with Patrick's horrific actions on August 3 of 2019. Schizoaffective disorder is an illness that left — if left untreated can be debilitating. Schizoaffective disorder is a type of schizophrenic disorder where the person has disturbances in his mood, how they process feelings, how they think.

People with schizophrenic disorder, like Patrick, can experience various types of breaks with reality that affect the way they feel, see, smell, taste and hear. These sensory breaks from reality are called hallucinations. Patrick experiences breaks from reality in how he hears and often hearing voices and noises. He experiences breaks from reality at times, seeing shapes that are not there. Since childhood,

he has felt a presence that is not there.

10:09 1

Patrick's thinking is at odds with reality. That unreal thinking can become very fixed and rigid, dominating the way a person sees the world around them and resulting in delusional thinking and strange ideas. This serious mental illness can be very confusing to the person experiencing it and to those around him.

To the extent that we are able to at this time, we want to share how Patrick's broken brain contributed to his actions in El Paso that forever changed the lives of so many. Even though Patrick had mental illness, mental health issues beginning as a young child, Patrick's family members noticed that something was changing in him for the worst in the year or so before he came to El Paso.

When he was 20 years old, mental health professionals described this type of — this time when the brain is changing and the disease is becoming more prominent, as a prodromal phase of Patrick's schizophrenic illness. Patrick was slipping into a psychotic stage and a psychotic illness.

Patrick was also starting to have thoughts that he did not want to have. Some of those thoughts were about harming people. Patrick was alarmed and he was distressed by having unwanted, violent thoughts about two young men he worked with at a movie theater. Patrick decided that the safest thing to do was to leave his job, and he quit in January of 2019.

10:11 1

Patrick's family struggled to make sense of what they were seeing. In their own lives, both of Patrick's parents have over the years suffered from mental illness. Both of Patrick's parents have required serious medication and even hospitalization for their own mental illness.

In March of 2019, Patrick started to see a therapist about his thoughts of harming people and himself. The therapist gave Patrick a series of tests. His responses showed that he heard voices in his head that no one else could hear. And even while alone, he felt that someone was watching him. And he had trouble controlling his thoughts, especially the unwanted thoughts of violence.

In the spring of 2019, Patrick knew something was not right with his brain. But over time, the psychosis, the power of the psychotic thinking, overcame his awareness. In April, Patrick desperately searched the internet, as the FBI found when they confiscated his computer.

In his search of the internet, he was searching about his violent thoughts, and he was asking whether it was normal to have violent thoughts. During this time, he searched for information about mental illness. He searched for information on how to get treatment for mental illness. He searched for information on how to enter a mental health facility without having insurance. He searched the internet if violent thoughts are normal and how to get rid of them.

10:12 1

Patrick's mental illness got worse. Patrick became so unstable that he withdrew from community college classes that he had been attending. Patrick was also now having unwanted violent thoughts about his therapist and about his family members. Those unwanted thoughts were overwhelming.

He stopped responding to the therapist and he made excuses to leave his family members' home, even though he had no other place to stay since he did not have a home of his own. Family members were bewildered when he disappeared suddenly or failed to make plans — to keep the plans that had been made with his family.

In June of 2019, Patrick's family became particularly alarmed when his brother learned from a credit card statement that Patrick had bought an assault rifle. Even though he did not fully understand Patrick's mental health issues, no one in the family believed it was a good idea for Patrick to have a gun. They worried that Patrick was depressed and suicidal and could harm himself or others.

As the FBI found out, Patrick's mother called the Allen Police Department on June 28 of 2019 and spoke to an officer. She expressed her concerns that her son Patrick was not mentally stable to safely own a firearm. She was told Patrick, however, was over 18, and he had obtained the gun legally. Despite her pleas with the Allen Police Department to confiscate the weapon due to his instability mentally, the

10:14 1 officer simply refused to take the gun away from Patrick.

No one was aware of the moment when Patrick decided to commit his acts of unspeakable violence, nor was anyone aware of the delusions that had overtaken him by the end of July. On July 27, Patrick went to his mother's house to be with her and his twin sister for their 21st birthday. His mother and sister had no idea that, earlier that day, Patrick had written a suicide note that he expected his family to read after his death.

The night of August 2, Patrick could not sleep. His head was full of violent thoughts and delusions, and he just could not stop. He got in his car, and he started to drive to El Paso. Patrick lost all contact with reality. As Patrick explained to the FBI after he was arrested, when he arrived in El Paso, he just drove around El Paso, and he ended up at the Walmart, where his serious mental illness and the resultant violent thoughts compulsively compelled him to complete this horrific act. Patrick acted with his broken brain cemented in delusions, under delusional beliefs that compelled him to act.

I do not presume that what I have been able to share does anything to answer all your questions that the victims may have about what Patrick did. There are never going to be answers that are satisfactory when people in a community are harmed to this degree.

In response to the nature of these crimes, the defense

10:16 1

requests that the Court make a judicial recommendation that the Bureau of Prisons consider placing Patrick in the most secure Bureau of Prison facilities. USP Florence Administrative Max or ADX, also known as Supermax.

The defense also requests that the Court follow U.S.

Probation Officer Avila's suggestion and make a judicial recommendation that the -- to the Bureau of Prisons that Patrick receive all necessary mental health services for his severe mental illness. We hope that over time, as this case is resolved, not only by the federal court, but also in the state court, the victim community and the El Paso and Juarez communities will begin to heal.

We will do all that we can to address the community's needs for information. We, the lawyers, are willing to meet with any member, any survivor of any of the victims or any family members at any time.

We do not intend to cause any more harm to this community. We hope that this phase of the federal case can bring some small amount of healing and some small amount of resolution to the people most grievously harmed by Patrick's actions on August 3 of 2019.

This week, we heard from people whose lives have been irrevocably changed. This was critical because it will signal an important shift in the focus, from the punishment of Patrick to the needs of our community. That testimony was important

10:18 1 for all of us to hear, to acknowledge and to be mindful of the suffering inflicted on those individuals and their families.

We cannot change what happened to our community; yet, by listening to their impact statements, we can move forward as a community towards addressing the direct needs of those most harmed by Patrick Crusius. We, the people of El Paso and Juarez, can model justice through our actions with the time and energy to give — to help our community heal. The El Paso-Juarez community is a transnational community and a faith-driven community. Our community is deeply resilient and tolerant.

Patrick Crusius, while in the throes of a psychotic illness, acted in a manner atrociously inconsistent with those values. Patrick showed no mercy on August 3 of 2019, for which he will be punished harshly and only leave prison in a coffin, but not on man's time, on God's time.

This past week, we heard and listened to the victims, their voices, their names, their stories of devastation, of fear, of hate, but also of faith, of prayer, of strength, of resilience. We hope that the families most grievously affected by Patrick's senseless actions, our community, our first responsers — our first responders, and others impacted by this tragedy continue to be supportive as they process what happened to them. We hope that we have provided some answers to what feels incomprehensible. We also hope that the politicians and

10:20 1 other community leaders support the healings of the victims 2 instead of focusing on their self-interested political gain. 3 (Speaking Spanish) THE INTERPRETER: "May God bless everyone, our 4 5 victims, our families, and our El Paso-Juarez community." 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spencer. 7 Mr. Hanna, on behalf of the government. 8 MR. HANNA: Your Honor, before the government begins 9 its allocution, we ask the Court to have the record reflect a 10 few items. 11 First, that to all who have lost somebody, who were 12 injured, the United States offers its deepest condolences to 13 you, to the government and people of Mexico, the United States, 14 a country with whom we share a common bond of our shared 15 geography, family ties that unite countless of our citizens. 16 We offer our condolences to the loss of your citizens, your 17 sons, and daughters. 18 To the government and people of the Federal Republic 19 of Germany, we offer our condolences to the loss of their 20 citizen, to their son. 21 And to the Court, we would like to thank the Court for 22 the consideration and latitude it has offered to the parties in 23 conducting this hearing over the course of the past few days. 24 We sincerely appreciate it. 25 May it please the Court, Counsel, Ladies and

10:21 1

Gentlemen. 23 killed, 21 shot, and one baby boy seriously injured when his parents fell mortally wounded. I agree with my colleague, Mr. Spencer, that you can't make sense out of the senseless. So instead, Your Honor, as the Court prepares to hand down its sentence, we ask the Court to consider the facts, to consider those facts, to remember them, to have an understanding of what exactly it was that happened, what exactly it was that happened, what exactly it was that happened here on September -- on August 3, 2019.

Because what happened was a cold, calculated scheme to target immigrants generally and Hispanics and people of Mexican descent specifically, to target them for death. It was a plan executed in a callous disregard for basic tenets of humanity and decency carried out in a large retail store on a busy Saturday morning when it was full of children and their parents and their grandparents.

It was a shooting spree that spared no one, no one, not the old, not the young, not men, not women, not white, not black, and certainly not brown. It was an attack carried out with a firearm, a weapon not out of place on the battlefield, loaded with bullets, specifically chosen for their hollow points, munitions designed to expand upon impact, and shred soft tissue. An assault that included as one of its first targets of opportunity tables and a tent for a girls' youth soccer team's fund-raiser.

10:24 1

It was not a crime that was committed in the heat of passion, and it was not an accident. On the contrary, the facts as provided in the factual basis that supported the plea play out for all of us and explain to all of us and paint a picture to all of us that this was a calculated, premeditated attack with every detail laid out by its proponent in a document of his own creation.

We heard today about severe mental illness. Even with schizoaffective disorder, there is no indication, none, that he lacked the capability to understand the wrongfulness of his actions when he was doing them. The idea that he didn't know what he was doing because of severe mental illness, we reject it. We reject that.

Why? Because we are in a building where facts still matter. And these are the facts: He started killing 17 minutes after he posted his manifesto, a document that laid out the who, the why, and the where. He started killing ten hours — ten hours after driving alone by himself with his thoughts from Dallas to El Paso. He started killing two weeks after he first started writing that manifesto. And he started killing 6 1/2 weeks after he bought that AK-47.

Those are the undisputed facts in the factual basis. So we ask this court to consider those facts, to consider what it is that really happened here, to consider the 23 lost, the 21 shot, and that baby boy. We ask the Court to consider that.

10:26 1

We ask the Court to consider also everyone else that was affected by the things that happened that Saturday in August of 2019. The first responders who raced there after getting the 911 call, as the 911 calls started coming in, who rushed people to the hospital, saving lives, and then, later, who stayed to process the crime scene, a crime scene whose physical dimensions dwarfed only — only by the magnitude of the horror and carnage that they saw there.

We ask the Court to consider the approximately 350 people who received compensation from the One Fund because they have documented injuries, physical and psychological, stemming from the defendant's actions. We ask the Court to consider the thousands — about 1,000 people that were inside the Walmart that Saturday and who lived through what can only be imagined as an eternity of sheer terror as those shots began ringing out.

We ask the Court to consider the tens of thousands who opened their wallets and their hearts and donated money to the various charitable organizations that sprang up to assist the people in their time of need, contributed their time and their love. We ask the Court to consider the hundreds of thousands from around the world that in the days, weeks, months after, went to funerals, went to vigils, said prayers, sent letters, sent flowers. We ask the Court to consider all these people because everyone, everyone in this community — and by "this

10:28 1 community," I mean El Paso, Juarez, southern New Mexico, all points in between, the entire Borderland -- because everyone in this community was affected by what happened.

That's because it wasn't an attack on the Walmart. I wasn't an attack on a physical place. He didn't go into that Walmart looking for somebody that he knew. When he went in there and looked down the barrel of his rifle and he started shooting individuals, it was because he wanted to eliminate a class of people.

It was a strike against the very essence of what makes this community so special, its people. And when that happens, that generates a psychological trauma that this community is living through, and it will be generational. We will have echos of it passing on for lifetimes in this community.

So, Your Honor, for the lives taken, for the injuries sustained, for the trauma that's been borne, there's got to be some kind of accountability for that. And so this sentence that the Court is about to hand down, the 90 consecutive life sentences, it's the beginning of that.

First off, it's a recognition of just how dangerous he really is. Let's not make any mistake about it. He is dangerous. And he is dangerous not because of who he is, in the flesh and blood sitting here, doesn't look like much of a threat. He is dangerous precisely for what he is, the vessel of an insidious lie, a lie borne of fear, fueled by ignorance,

a lie spread to try to convince people that this country
belongs to only a select few. That being an American is about
what you look like or where your ancestors are from, a lie that
festers in the dark recesses of the internet and a fiction -- a
malevolent fiction that has a unique ability to twist minds,
poison hearts, and corrupt souls. That's certainly what it did

to him.

And if we take him at his word of what's in his manifesto, which we should, and this court should understand he really believes it, he is all in. And it's because he is all in, because he believes this lie, this fiction that turned him against himself, that turned him against this community, and perhaps most tragically ironic of all that turned him against the core principle — foundational principle of this republic that we as a nation, all of us together, are so much more — are so much more than the sum of our individual parts. That out of many, we are made one.

That is a foundational truth that has guided this country for 243 years. And for him to make himself deliberately ignorant of that American truism should inform the Court to the degree to which he has been poisoned and to the magnitude of the danger that he represents now and in the future.

And so long as he does not renounce that, he is a voice piece, he is a vessel for that lie, and he is dangerous

10:32 1 to all of us. He is a danger to our way of life, and he is a danger to our society. He is a danger to the way we do things,

3 and he is a danger to the way we organize ourselves.

This sentence of 90 consecutive life sentences, in part, acknowledges that danger. But it's just the beginning. He claims that he takes responsibility, but not yet, not yet, because this sentence is just the beginning. It is the beginning of the process by which he will start to take account in full for the decisions and the crimes that he has committed. And in our view, that accounting will come slowly, glacially perhaps, over the decades to come, as he sits in the cold, dark confines of his cell in isolation with only his thoughts. That is when the weight — the full weight of his punishment will begin to set in as the realization of what all of this means to him personally begins to set in.

And that realization, quite simply, Your Honor, that he is a failure. He failed. He failed. In his mission to sow hate, to divide, to separate, he failed, and not because he didn't plan or because he didn't try hard enough, because he certainly did those things, but because the people of this community would never let him succeed. That's why he failed.

Your Honor, it's our hope and our prayer that it is exactly that failure -- exactly his failure that brings to everyone here some measure of faith and hope. To the people who lost loved ones, to the people who lost pieces of

10:35 1

themselves, we hope that it brings you faith, faith to know that the love that you carry forward for your brothers, your sisters, your mothers, your fathers, your children, that love that you have every day when you think of your family members, when you remember them, that love that you feel, it was that love that made sure that he failed. We pray, in turn, that that faith brings you some hope — some hope that in the future someday — be it today, tomorrow, some point in the future — that these concepts, these realities will bring you some measure of peace and some measure of closure.

For the rest of us, the government submits to the Court that his failure should give us hope, hope for the present and hope for our future, hope that we never lose faith, faith in the inherent strength of this community, the resiliency of its people, the benevolence and the beauty of its culture, faith to know that those things, no one, nobody, no thing can ever take those things away. Faith also in ourselves and faith in knowing that this community always was and always will be better than that.

So, Your Honor, we ask you to sentence the defendant to 90 consecutive life sentences. We ask you to do that so that this community can begin to forget about him, continue to move forward honoring and remembering the things that were lost, striving for the things that stand to be gained, and waiting and knowing that there will be better days to come.

10:37 1 | Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hanna.

Mr. Crusius, I sentence you to life in the penitentiary on each and every one of the 90 counts brought against you in the indictment in this case. Each count will run consecutive to the count before it. So once you complete a life sentence on Count One, you will begin a life sentence on Count Two, and so on, until you have served 90 life sentences for this crime.

I am going to follow those 90 life sentences with five years of supervised release on each count as required by the guidelines. These will run concurrently. Your supervised release will be under standard conditions which this court adopts. These will include that you commit no crime against the United States, any state of the United States, or any local government. It will include the special condition that you submit to up to one year of intermittent confinement as directed by the Court pursuant to law.

And, Mr. Spencer, are you familiar with the special conditions that are set out in paragraph 716 of the report?

MR. SPENCER: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the Court imposing those?

MR. SPENCER: No objections, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court would impose the special

10:39 1 conditions set out in paragraph 716 of Ms. Avila's report. I 2 would include those in the judgment as if I had fully 3 articulated them into the record. Because it appears you are indigent, unable to pay a 4 5 fine, I will waive a fine and look to the government for the 6 \$100 special assessment on each count, a total of \$9,000. 7 The government does not remit. MR. HANNA: 8 THE COURT: The 9,000 -- the \$100 special assessment 9 is assessed on each count totaling a special assessment of 10 \$9,000. 11 I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that you be 12 held to serve out your life sentences at the United States 13 Penitentiary Administrative Maximum Facility, USP Florence ADMAX, commonly known as the Supermax in Florence, Colorado. 14 15 I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that you be 16 afforded mental health treatment while you are in their 17 custody. 18 Mr. Hanna, the items in the government's Bill of 19 Particulars for Forfeiture, have those been administratively 20 forfeited or do I forfeit them now? 21 MR. HANNA: No, Your Honor. That issue has been 22 resolved. In fact, we fired -- we filed an advisory to the 23 Court this morning just to confirm that that issue has been 24 resolved. 25 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

10:41 1 So, Mr. Crusius, now that I have imposed your 2 sentence, you have the right to appeal the imposition of that sentence. That right is limited by the terms of your plea 3 agreement. But if you wish to appeal, you must file a notice 4 5 of appeal with the clerk of this court indicating your desire to appeal your sentence to a higher court. You must file this 6 7 notice of appeal within 14 days of the date of the entry of 8 judgment in your case. Most likely, the date of entry of 9 judgment in your case will be today. If you have any 10 additional questions about your appellate rights, I will refer you to Mr. Spencer. He will be able to answer all your 11 12 questions. And Mr. Spencer will be able to help you perfect an 13 appeal if you choose to appeal. Mr. Spencer, is there anything else that you require 14 15 of the Court? 16 MR. SPENCER: No, Your Honor, not at this time. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Hanna. 18 MR. HANNA: Your Honor, one more item, just a piece of 19 housekeeping: The government moves respectfully for the Court 20 to dismiss the pending indictment in this case, moving forward 21 on the superseding indictment. 22 THE COURT: The original indictment brought against 23 Mr. Crusius in this case is dismissed on the government's 24 motion. Ms. Avila, is there anything else that I should be 25 adding to the terms and conditions of supervised release?

10:42 1 PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your, Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: All right then. With that, then, these proceedings are adjourned. * * * * * * $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$ I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Signature: /s/Nalene Benavides Date: July 12, 2023 Nalene Benavides, RMR, CRR